

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,264	HARTIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

 (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

 (3) Ms. Perlene Johnson, Atty. Wendy Petka's Assistant.

 (2) Mr. Tony Bottino, Esq.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2006
Time: ~12 pm and 2 pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Attorney Wendy Petka's assistant (applicants' legal representative), Ms. Perlene Johnson, to inquire about the status of the instant application. Ms. Johnson informed the Examiner that she could not confirm the status of the instant application, but that another attorney would call the Examiner. Attorney Tony Bottino called the Examiner at ~2 pm EST and confirmed that the instant application was abandoned upon filing of a continuation application.